

## **Biodiversity Mapping Using Remote Sensing and GIS With Special Reference To Avian Migration.**

**Dr.Nidhi Sharma**

*Department of Zoology, Govt P.G.College Jhalawar, Rajasthan 326001*

**Richa N K Sharma**

*Department of Remote Sensing, Birla Institute of Technology Mesra, Ranchi 835215, Jharkhand*

---

### **ABSTRACT**

*All through the world, biodiversity is confronting unmatched dangers brought about by our illogical utilization of earth's characteristic assets. Logically, we are understanding the vermin limit of our globe to retain the results of our exercises: continuous corruption and loss of characteristic environments and ward species; abuse of assets; contamination of water, land and the air; and meds in barometrical arrangement prompting environmental change with every one of its outcomes. A novel methodology is required, which will assist with defeating every one of these issues without testing the financial turn of events. Mechanical advances, leased procedures and developing information bases have made our frameworks progressively compelling for observing biodiversity. In the ongoing many years, with the expansion in the quantity of earth perception satellites with better monotonously, improvement in unearthy groups, wide scope of spatial goals and remarkable number of far off detecting and GIS apparatuses empower the administration expert for appropriate administration and preservation of biodiversity. The current paper tends to the part of distant detecting and GIS apparatuses in the protection of the organic variety. It surveys draws near and as of now accessible instruments and techniques, which are being utilized for examining and protection of biodiversity of the earth.*

**Keywords:** *Biodiversity, Satellite, Sensor, Spectral signature*

---

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Biodiversity is the assortment of living beings considered at all degrees of association, from quality through species, to higher ordered levels, including the assortment of environments and biological systems, just as the cycles happening in that. Biodiversity preservation is firmly identified with other worldwide natural changes and globalization issues, for example, environmental change, land use and land cover change, and supportable turn of events. Over hundreds of years, people have been changing biological systems more quickly than in any equivalent period ever, accordingly biodiversity has declined quickly (Balmford et al., 2003). Anthropogenic exercises have disposed of impotent species, trained plants and creatures, cut backwoods, utilized are to adjust territories, unpredictably utilized pesticides and different synthetic substances, causing water, soil, and air contamination, applied damaging shying rehearses, depleted or overflowed wetlands, changed wild natural surroundings over to farming and metropolitan uses, and as of late even significantly changed hydrological and geochemical cycles. This expanding human mediation and inordinate abuse of assets have brought about extraordinary changes and give disturbing signs of quickened biodiversity misfortune. The regular species level methodology for biodiversity the board has significant restrictions. This has brought about an approach move from protection of single species to their environments. As of late, there is a recognizable change in understanding the needs of biodiversity preservation and the executives primarily through spatial depiction of the biodiversity rich and helpless zones. The methodology chiefly utilizes the procedures from far off detecting and GIS for the protection purposes for estimating the circulation and status of biodiversity distantly, with airborne or satellite sensors. New symbolism and informational collections are currently empowering far off detecting, related to biological models, to reveal more insight into a portion of the principal questions with respect to biodiversity. Accordingly, an endeavor has been made in this paper to sum up the job and utilizations of distant detecting and GIS in the protection of the biodiversity.

### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

1. To Study Biodiversity Mapping Using Remote Sensing
2. To study GIS with special reference to avian migration.

### **Geographic information system (GIS)**

Geographic data frameworks are utilized to gather, store, investigate, scatter and control data that can be referred to a geological area. GIS applications are instruments that permit clients to make intuitive questions,

break down spatial data, alter information in guides, and present the consequences of every one of these activities. Scene measures, natural surroundings assessment, portrayal of unsettling influence systems, examination of woods structure and capacity in spatial space, are where GIS fills in as an incredible asset to embrace coordinated investigation. It gives the best approach to overlay various layers of information like the environmental conditions, the genuine vegetation physiognomy and human constrain files to decide biodiversity status and past just as present guides for checking biodiversity (Yadav et al., 2013).

Gigantic measure of information identifying with natural and biological frameworks have been gathered and put away in structures fit to the board and examination utilizing GIS (Aspinall, 1995). Presence/nonattendance information for biota have been recorded at organic records places and planned to demonstrate and screen the geographic reaches or different cutoff points on various species. Records of species or environment can be put away in an information base and planned to show where they happen. This geographic data can be utilized to target reviews and checking plans (Marqules and Austin, 1991).

The generally late advancement of GPS and GIS innovations show up obviously fit to protection exertion, since they engage biologists to speedily obtain, store, dissect, and show spatial information on living beings and their current circumstance (Johnston, 1998). Alongside GIS, Global Positioning Systems (GPS) give amazing assets to obtaining precise areas and regions

**Remote-sensing**

Far off detecting is very much perceived for the essential job it plays in natural appraisal and observing. Field reviews give more elevated levels of precision than distant detecting, however utilizing far off detecting methods creates it conceivable to speed up and recurrence with which one can investigate a scene. Subsequently, far off detecting can help in creation speedy and centered choices with respect to the protection of natural variety (Prasad et al., 2015). Generally speaking, distant detecting considers require extra (subordinate) information to permit the symbolism to be deciphered. Ground inspecting, experience with land cover and land utilization of the territory being referred to, and master information on species patterns and living space use, environmental networks, and natural frameworks are expected to shape a strong reason for understanding. The most recent advances in far off detecting advances reinforce its utilization in catching estimation of biodiversity on earth and in tending to in-situ and ex-situ protection endeavors by enhancing the location of species, biological networks and examples of species lavishness. Mostly, there are two general ways to deal with utilize distant detecting in surveying biodiversity. One is immediate far off detecting, which maps singular life forms, species gatherings, or natural networks by utilization of airborne or satellite sensors. The other methodology, backhanded far off detecting, encourages appraisals of biodiversity components through examination of such natural boundaries as broad land cover, geography, height, landform, human aggravation, and different substitutes for the genuine highlights of interest. A wide scope of factors can be measure utilizing various methodologies (Table 1).

**Table 1: Ecological variables and data sources useful for quantifying and modeling biodiversity (Adapted from Turner et al., 2003)**

Variable	Sensor Space (S)/ Airborne (A)	Revisit time	Spectral and Spatial resolution	Description
Species composition	TM/ETM+ (S), ALI (S), HYPERION (S), ASTER (S), IKONOS (S), Quickbird (S), AVIRIS (A), CASI (A)	16 days (ETM, ALI, Hyperion); 4–16 days (ASTER); 2–5 days (IKONOS); 2–4 days (Quickbird); N/A for aircraft	V/NIR, SWIR, ASTER also has TIR. <1–30 m	Tested for their ability to measure canopy community, and perhaps species, type based upon unique spectral signatures.
Land cover	MODIS (S), TM/ETM+ (S), ASTER (S), ALI (S), IKONOS (S), Quickbird (S)	1–2 days (MODIS); 16 days (TM/ETM+); 4–16 days (ASTER); 2–5 days (IKONOS); 2–4 days (Quickbird)	V/NIR, SWIR, MODIS and ASTER also have TIR. <1–1000 m	Can discriminate different land surfaces at various resolutions; land cover classification is considered a first-order analysis for species occurrence.
Chlorophyll	SeaWiFS (S), MODIS (S), ASTER (S), TM/ETM+ (S), ALI (S), Hyperion (S), IKONOS (S), Quickbird (S), AVIRIS (A), CASI (A)	1 day (SeaWiFS); 1–2 days (MODIS); 4–16 days (ASTER); 16 days (TM/ETM+), (ALI, Hyperion); 2–5 days (IKONOS); 2–4 days (Quickbird)	V/NIR, SWIR, MODIS and ASTER also have TIR. <1–1000 m	Measure reflectance to assess greenness measures enabling detection of ocean and land surface chlorophyll useful for calculating productivity and plant health.

Ocean color and circulation	TOPEX/Poseidon (S), AVHRR (S), MODIS (S), SeaWiFS (S)	10 days (TOPEX/Poseidon); 1 day (AVHRR); 1-2 days (MODIS); 1 day (SeaWiFS)	TOPEX, AVHRR, MODIS, SeaWiFS (V/NIR, SWIR, MODIS and AVHRR also have TIR). 1-10 km	Circulation patterns can be inferred from changes in ocean color, sea surface height, and ocean temperature.
Rainfall	CERES (S), AMSR-E (S)	1-2 days (CERES, AMSR-E)	Microwave. 20-56 km	Enable detection of precipitation and surface moisture at coarse resolutions.
Soil moisture	AMSR-E (S)	1-2 days	Microwave. 5.4-56 km	Estimates soil moisture over relatively large areas.
Phenology	MODIS (S), TM/ETM+ (S), ASTER (S), ALI (S), HYPERION (S), IKONOS (S), Quickbird (S)	1-2 days (MODIS); 16 days (TM/ETM+, ALI, Hyperion); 4-16 days (ASTER); 2-5 days (IKONOS); 2-4 days (Quickbird)	V/NIR, SWIR, MODIS and ASTER also have TIR. 1-1000 m	Information on leaf turnover and flowering/fruiting cycles. Provides for identification of species tied to certain phenological events.
Topography	SRTM (S), ATM (A), ASTER (S), IKONOS (S), SLICER (A), LVIS (A)	N/A (SRTM); 4-16 days (ASTER); 2-5 days (IKONOS); N/A (SLICER, LVIS)	Microwave SRTM; V/NIR and SWIR for others. 90 m SRTM; 30 m/15 m ASTER; 1-15 m IKONOS, SLICER, LVIS	DEM derived from radar signals via interferometry (SRTM); image stereo pairs (ASTER) or discrete-return (usually) LIDAR signals.
Vertical canopy structure	SLICER (A), LVIS (A)	N/A (SLICER, LVIS)	V/NIR. 1-10 m	Provides 3D measurements via laser pulses; provides biomass estimates and information about vegetation structure

There has been an amazing expansion in earth perception satellites and sensors throughout the most recent couple of years that are being utilized to gauge and demonstrate biodiversity from space (Table 2). The potential for current sensors to distinguish territories of significance to biodiversity, foresee species disseminations and model network reactions to natural and anthropogenic changes is an imperative. Advances in the spatial and otherworldly goals of sensors now accessible to environmentalists are making the immediate distant detecting of specific parts of biodiversity progressively achievable. In situations where direct location of individual life forms or gatherings is still outside our ability to comprehend, roundabout methodologies offer significant data about variety designs.

**Forms of remote sensing helpful in measuring biodiversity**

Coarse-goal distant detecting: I. Utilization of satellite picture information for planning and checking worldwide land-cover, biomass consuming, assessing geophysical and biophysical qualities of landscape highlights, or observing mainland scale atmosphere move, has gotten an essential contribution for biodiversity evaluation (Arino and Melinotte, 1995). The fast return to season of AVHRR betters comprehension of land cover, consumed region, and so forth, at both worldwide and local levels (Eva and Lambin, 1998). Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) is intended to give steady spatial and fleeting examinations of worldwide vegetation conditions that can be utilized to screen photosynthetic movement, which encourage understanding the biodiversity work.

**High-resolution remote sensing: II.**

At the public or neighborhood level, IRS, Landsat or SPOT symbolism can give finer-scale data on timberland type conveyance and horticultural development. Radar frameworks, for example, JERS and Radarsat, are not influenced by mists, and are valuable for deciding the degree of woods and non-timberland scenes where geological alleviation isn't considerable (<200m). Datasets from IRS 1C/1D LISS III, Landsat TM, MEIS II have been utilized viably in planning the unadulterated plant provinces, assessment of species lavishness, planning of hotspots and vegetation cover in various pieces of nation (Roy et al., 2001; Porwal et al., 2003) and outside India (Treitz et al., 1992; Franklin, 1994; White et al., 1995; Gould, 2000).

### **Very-high-resolution/Hyperspectral remotesensing:III.**

Hyperspectral far off sensors obtain pictures across many limited touching ghostly groups all through obvious, close infrared and mid-infrared bits of electromagnetic range and measure the reflected range at frequencies somewhere in the range of 350 and 2,500 nm utilizing 150–300 bordering groups of 5–10 nm data transfer capacities. High-goal information (1-m panchromatic and 3-m multispectral), which are currently accessible from the business IKONOS II satellite, have been discovered to be valuable for deciding the real exercises on the ground that have prompted woods clearing. Hyperspectral information can segregate a scale, species-specific land cover (Turner et al., 2003, for example, vegetation classifications or soil types which make striking commitment to any examination with respect to biodiversity designs. Also, laser scanner information in mix with exceptionally high-goal satellite pictures, as for example IKONOS, Terra Aster stage, or ethereal multispectral scanner information, can be applied to the evaluation of statures of single trees, tree-wise lumber volume counts, and the discovery of even single trees of different species, particularly for timberland stock assignments (Shippert, 2004; Xie et al., 2008). Studies have announced the utilization of hyper otherworldly picture information for separation of a few exotic animal groups (Franklin, 1994; Martin et al., 1998), segregation of coniferous species (Cochrane, 2000; Gong et al., 2001), species dispersion designs (Debinski et al., 1999), nature and biodiversity in woods (Guerschman et al., 2009), horticulture (Bannari et al., 2008), divided biological system and progression (Lee et al., 2007) and untamed life the executives (Keramitsoglou et al., 2008).

### **Thermal RemoteSensing:IV.**

It is a sort of distant detecting which identifies the energy produced from earth's surface in warm infrared (TIR, 3–15  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Warm infrared (TIR) information is obtained by a large number of ground-based, airborne, and spaceborne far off detecting instruments. An expansive assortment of fields apply warm infrared distant detecting, to survey general land-or ocean surface temperature elements, identify backwoods coal and peat ares, map metropolitan warmth islands or warm water contamination, separate geologic surfaces, break down soil dampness and to test materials. As warm far off detecting manages the estimation of transmitted radiations, for high temperature wonder, the domain of warm distant detecting widens to incorporate the TIR as well as the short wave infrared (SWIR), close to infrared (NIR) and in extraordinary cases even the obvious area of the EM range. TIR sensors measure surface temperature and warm properties of targets (Canada Center for Remote Sensing, 2007), which are basic for building up a superior agreement and more strong models of land surface energy balance cooperations. With the progression in the natural thermodynamics, TIR far off detecting is equipped for revealing the standards of environmental examples of structure and capacity (Quattrochi and Luvall, 2009). The notable sensors with TIR groups incorporate the high level VHR radiometer (AVHRR) on board the Polar Orbiting Environmental Satellites (POES), Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) and ETM+, the high level space-borne warm discharge and reflection radiometer (ASTER) on Terra Earth noticing satellite stage (Prasad et al., 2015).

**LIDAR Remote Sensing: V.**Light discovery and running (LiDAR), otherwise called laser recognition and going (LaDAR) or optical radar, is a functioning far off detecting method which utilizes electromagnetic energy in the optical reach to recognize an article, decide the distance between the objective and the instrument, and derive actual properties of the item dependent on association of the radiation with the objective through marvels, for example, dispersing, ingestion, reflection, and fluorescence. The more drawn out frequency beats of radars can enter mists, and the longest radar frequencies (for example L band and past) infiltrate tree coverings or, in instances of exposed and loamy soil, the outside of the earth to profundities of a meter or more (Ulaby et al., 1982). LIDAR sensors utilize the return signs to identify the stature of the shelter top, ground height and the places of leaves and branches in the middle of, enabling it to enter woodland coverings and making it a likely instrument for estimating biomass and deciding vegetation structure (Turner et al., 2003). LIDAR is especially valuable for estimating tallness (Van der Meer et al., 2002), which may then be joined into additional biological examination. As indicated by the attributes of LIDAR innovation, it has been demonstrated to give even and vertical data at high spatial goals and vertical exactnesses (Lim et al., 2003). Airborne LIDAR distant detecting frameworks, for example, LVIS (Laser Vegetation Imaging Sensor) have been utilized for bathymetry, ranger service, and different applications (Irish and White, 1998; Drake et al., 2002). LIDAR innovation to marine biodiversity preservation additionally shows guarantee for distinguishing territories (Turner et al., 2003). Enormous impression LIDAR data is combined with MODIS information to produce woods stature maps (Lefsky et al., 2007), and the P band of the engineered gap radar (SAR) shows great concurrence with boreal woodland biomass.

Untamed life chiefs are made aware of unnatural varieties in developments or fixed status and can quickly send watches to explore the chance of a physical issue, ailment or a poaching occasion. Current area

information give natural life supervisors more opportunity to intercede fittingly and a superior possibility of sparing the creature or getting poachers at the location of the wrongdoing.

Recently created RTM embed units are currently beginning to be utilized to help identify poaching occasions in rhinos. These units, embedded in creatures' horns, screen rhino conduct through three-dimensional accelerometers, and irregular conduct will trigger quick alerts convey to untamed life rangers. In connection to hazardous highlights in the scene, continuous information on the situation of labeled creatures will permit natural life administrators to react quickly and proactively to advancing circumstances. The creator group planned refined programming calculations to investigate approaching development information, for this examination. These virtual limits are named as "geofences". The continuous locational data is particularly significant for the creatures which inclined to visit cooperations with individuals, for example, elephants, or where collaboration among natural life and domesticated animals is to potential because of infection transmission between them.

The calculations will decide the creature's vicinity to pre-decided focuses or zones of interest and will send quick cautions to supervisors, regularly through SMS or an email, when creatures move excessively near high-hazard includes or enter a risky zone.

## II. CONCLUSION

Distant detecting and GIS procedure assumes an amassed part in planning biodiversity by giving sufficient apparatuses and applications that help to gauge variety, yet in addition the gives other environmental data important to ration biodiversity. With new instruments arising previous computational difficulties can be survived, making natural information all the more effectively open for protection scientists

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Andries, A.M. and Gulinck, H. 1994. Spatial modelling of the barn owl *Tyto alba* habitat using landscape characteristics derived from SPOT data. *Ecography* 17: 278-287.
- [2]. Arino, O. and Melinotte, J.M. 1995. Fire Index Atlas, Earth observation quarterly, 50: 11-16.
- [3]. Aronoff, S. 2005. Remote sensing for GIS managers; ESRI Press: Redlands, CA, USA.
- [4]. Aspinall, R.J. 1995. Geographic information systems: their use for environmental management and nature conservation. *Parks*, 5: 20-31.
- [5]. Balmford, A., Green, R.E. and Jenkins, M. 2003. Measuring the changing state of nature. *Tree* 18: 326-330.
- [6]. Bannari, A., Khurshid, K.S., Staenz, K. and Schwarz, J. 2008. Potential of Hyperion EO-1 hyperspectral data for wheat crop chlorophyll content estimation. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* 34: 139-157.
- [7]. Benali, A., Nunes, J.P., Freitas, F.B., Sousa, C.A., Novo, M.T., Lourenço, P.M., Lima, J.C., Seixas, J. and Almeida, A.P.G. 2014.
- [8]. Satellite Derived Estimation of Environmental Suitability for Malaria Vector Development in Portugal. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 145: 116-130.
- [9]. Box, E.O., Holben, B.N. and Kalb, V. 1989. Accuracy of the AVHRR Vegetation Index as a predictor of biomass, primary productivity and net CO<sub>2</sub> flux. *Vegetation* 80: 71-89.
- [10]. Brakke, T.W., Kanemasu, E.T., Steiner, J.L., Ulaby, F.T. and Wilson E. 1981. Microwave Radar Response to Canopy Moisture, Leaf Area Index, and Dry Weight of Wheat, Corn, and Sorghum. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 11: 207-220
- [11]. Breininger, D.R., Provancha, M.J. and Smith, R.B. 1991. Mapping Florida scrub jay habitat for purposes of land-use management. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 51: 1467-1474.
- [12]. Briant, G., Gond, V. and Laurance, S.G.W. 2010. Habitat fragmentation and the desiccation of forest canopies: A case study from eastern Amazon. *Biological Conservation* 143: 2763-2769. Canada Centre for Remote Sensing, 2007.